

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,319	ARMSTRONG ET AL.
	Examiner Kara E. Geisel	Art Unit 2877

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Kara E. Geisel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Philip Askenazy, attorney of record.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 April 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

82, 102, 106, 139, 143, 151, 175, 183

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

### Part II.

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*amendment was proposed to add "wherein the medium is located in the vicinity of the microcavity" in order to include structural relationship of the medium and the microcavity into these claims. See Office Action.*

### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)